

COUNSEL LISTED ON SIGNATURE PAGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)) Master File No. 3:07-cv-5944 SC
ANTITRUST LITIGATION) Case No. 17-cv-04067-JST
)
) MDL No. 1917
)
) **STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~**
) **ORDER RE MOTION FOR**
) **PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF**
) **SETTLEMENT**

This document relates to:

Luscher et al. v. Mitsubishi Electric Corp.,) The Honorable Jon S. Tigar
Case No. 17-cv-04067-JST)
)
)

1 WHEREAS, at the Case Management Conference on April 9, 2019, Mitsubishi Electric
2 Corporation (“Mitsubishi Electric”) and the Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs (“IPPs”) proposed that
3 the Court set a schedule for IPPs to file the motion for preliminary approval of their proposed
4 class action settlement with Mitsubishi Electric, and proposed June 14, 2019 as the date for the
5 opening brief;

6 WHEREAS, by Order dated April 9, 2019 (ECF No. 5426), this Court set a deadline of
7 June 14, 2019 for the IPPs to file the motion for preliminary approval of the Mitsubishi Electric
8 settlement;

9 WHEREAS, the proposed plan of allocation for the IPPs’ settlement with Mitsubishi
10 Electric is impacted by the IPPs’ settlements with the other defendants and will be impacted by
11 any further settlements or agreements reached with the other defendants;

12 WHEREAS, the Court has referred the parties to the other settlements and the objectors
13 to those settlements to mediation before Magistrate Judge Corley, ECF No. 5427, and has before
14 it motions for appointment of new interim class counsel relating to the settlements;

15 WHEREAS, the Northern District of California’s Procedural Guidance for Class Action
16 Settlements (as amended December 5, 2018) requires that the motion for preliminary approval
17 include a proposed plan of allocation;

18 WHEREAS, the parties to the Mitsubishi Electric settlement agree that it is necessary to
19 wait until the issues relating to the IPPs’ settlements with the other defendants are resolved in
20 the Judge Corley mediation before presenting a proposed plan of allocation for the Mitsubishi
21 Electric settlement; and

22 WHEREAS, in light of the foregoing, the parties respectfully request that the Court take
23 the motion for preliminary approval of the Mitsubishi Electric settlement off calendar pending
24 resolution of the Judge Corley mediation involving the IPPs and the other defendants.

25 NOW, THEREFORE, THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE THAT:
26 The date for IPPs to file their motion for preliminary approval of the IPPs’ settlement with
27 Mitsubishi Electric set for June 14, 2019 is taken off calendar.
28

1 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

2 Dated: June 7, 2019

TRUMP, ALIOTO, TRUMP & PRESCOTT, LLP

3
4 By: /s/ Mario N. Alioto
MARIO N. ALIOTO, ESQ. (56433)
5 LAUREN C. CAPURRO, ESQ. (241151)
TRUMP, ALIOTO, TRUMP & PRESCOTT, LLP
6 2280 Union Street
San Francisco, CA 94123
7 Telephone: (415) 563-7200
8 Facsimile: (415) 346-0679
E-mail: malioto@tatp.com
9 lauren russell@tatp.com

10 *Lead Counsel for Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs*


11
12 Dated: June 7, 2019

13 By: /s/ Michael T. Brody
14 Terrence J. Truax
ttruax@jenner.com
15 Michael T. Brody
mbrody@jenner.com
16 JENNER & BLOCK LLP
353 N. Clark Street
17 Chicago, IL 60654
18 Telephone: (312) 222-9350

19 **Attorneys for Mitsubishi Electric Corporation**

20
21 **PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

22
23
24
25 DATED: June 11, 2019

26 
Hon. Jon S. Tigar
United States District Judge